

Minutes of Technical Evaluation Committee for Supply of Plastic Pallets, Pallet Trolleys & Stackers for BMSICL Warehouses in Bihar having Tender No: BMSICL/2013-14/WH-003 held on 11.07.2013.

**Attendance:**

- Shri Sanjay Kumar, Joint Secretary (Health Department, GOB)
- Shri O.P Pathak, Deputy Director (Dept. of Industries, GOB)
- Shri D.K Raman, Additional Director (RCH)
- Shri Tripurari Kumar, GM (F&A), BMSICL
- Shri Sunil Kumar Singh, DGM (SC), BMSICL


**Background:**

Bihar Medical Services & Infrastructure Corporation Limited (A Govt. of Bihar Undertaking) has invited tender vide tender number: BMSICL/2013-14/WH-003 Dated 02.05.2013 for Supply of Plastic Pallets, Pallet Trolleys & Stackers. Tender advertisement was published in Newspaper in Danik Jagran(Hindi Edition), Hindustan & Times Of India. Tender was also uploaded on BMSICL Website. Last date for sale of bidding document was 31.05.2013 up to 3:00 PM. Four Bidders has participated in the above said tender.

**Names of the Participants:**

1. Neelkamal Limited
2. Sintex India Limited
3. Su-Mech Storage system
4. AOV International

After opening of the tender on 31.05.2013 at 3:30pm a technical comparative chart (Enclosed herewith) is prepared on the basis of requirements as per tender clause & it was placed before the committee on Dated 11.07.2013 for technical evaluation. All the members of the committee have evaluated the comparative chart and it has been mutually recommended as under:

  
The bottom of the page contains several handwritten signatures and dates in blue ink. From left to right, there is a checkmark, a signature with the date '11/7/13', another signature, and a signature that appears to be 'A. Kumar'.

**1. AOV International:**

- a. They have bid for Only Plastic Pallet, as per our tender condition on page no.6 & point no.6 partial bidding is not allowed.
- b. As per our tender condition they have not submitted ISO Certification.
- c. They have not submitted Order copies & completion certificate of 50% of our ordered value as per our tender clause.
- d. They have not given the technical compliance & Design as per our requirement in the tender.

Committee has mutually recommended that AOV International bid may be technically disqualified for above said reasons.

**2. Nilkamal Limited:**

Committee has mutually recommended that Nilkamal Limited bid may be technically qualified as they have submitted their bid as per BMSICL tender condition.


**3. Sintex India Limited:**

- a. They have not quoted the model of their Plastic Pallet & Stacker.
- b. They have not submitted Article or Memorandum of Association.
- c. They have not submitted Order copies & completion certificate of 50% of our ordered value as per our tender clause.
- d. They have not given the technical compliance & Design as per our requirement in the tender.
- e. They have not given the physical demonstration of Stacker & Plastic Pallet at our Fatuha Warehouse as asked between 01.07.2013 to 03.07.2013.

Committee has mutually recommended that Sintex India Limited bid may be technically disqualified for above said reasons.

**4. SU-MECH Storage Systems Pvt. Ltd:**

Committee has mutually recommended that SU-MECH Storage systems Pvt. Ltd bid may be technically qualified as they have submitted their bid as per BMSICL tender condition.


  
BMSICL  
11/7/13  
Jyoti  
P. K. Mani

Committee has mutually recommended that on above said grounds AOV International & Sintex bid may be technically disqualified.

Committee has mutually recommended that Nilkamal Limited & SU-MECH Storage systems Pvt. Ltd. may be treated as technically qualified.

  
(D. Raman)


  
(Sunil Kumar Singh)

  
(Tripurari Kumar)

  
(O.P Pathak)

  
(Sanjay Kumar)

Item	AOV	Sintex	Nilkamal	SU-MECH
1. Bidder's Name	AOV International	Sintex	Nilkamal Limited	SU-MECH Storage systems Pvt. Ltd.
2. Bid Amount	1.00 Crore	1.00 Crore	1.00 Crore	1.00 Crore
3. Bid Validity	90 Days	90 Days	90 Days	90 Days
4. Bidder's Experience	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5. Bidder's Financial Soundness	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6. Bidder's Technical Capability	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7. Bidder's Past Performance	Not Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
8. Bidder's Compliance	Not Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory

 11/7/13